arXiv:hep-ph/0306141v1 16 Jun 2003

Energy levels and lifetimes of Nd IV, Pm IV, Sm IV, and Eu IV

V. A. Dzuba*
School of Physics, University of New South Wales,
Sydney 2052, Australia

U. I. Safronova†
Department of Physics, 225 Nieuwland Science Hall
University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

W. R. Johnson‡
Department of Physics, 225 Nieuwland Science Hall
University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

To address the shortage of experimental data for electron spectra of triply-ionized rare earth elements we have calculated energy levels and lifetimes of $4f^{n+1}$ and $4f^n5d$ configurations of Nd IV (n=2), Pm IV (n=3), Sm IV (n=4), and Eu IV (n=5) using Hartree-Fock and configuration interaction methods. To control the accuracy of our calculations we also performed similar calculations for Pr III, Nd III and Sm III, for which experimental data are available. The results are important, in particular, for physics of magnetic garnets.

PACS numbers: PACS: 32.30.-r, 32.70.Cs, 31.15.Ne

I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic properties of garnets are mostly due to unpaired 4f-electrons of the rare earth elements which are present in the garnets as triply-ionized ions (see, e.g. [1]). Our interest in magnetic garnets was initially driven by proposals to use gadolinium gallium garnet and gadolinium iron garnet to measure the electron electric dipole moment (EDM) [2, 3]. In our earlier works we performed calculations to relate the electron EDM to measurable values [4, 5]. It turned out, in particular, that the value of the EDM enhancement factor is sensitive to the energy interval between the ground state configuration $4f^7$ and excited configuration $4f^65d$ of Gd^{3+}. The lack of experimental data on the Gd^{3+} spectra produced an initially large uncertainty which we were able to overcome by performing *ab initio* calculations of the Gd^{3+} energy levels [6].

While gadolinium garnets seem to be the best choice for the EDM measurements [2, 3], other garnets may also be useful for the measurements of the T,P-odd effects (EDM, nuclear anapole moment, etc.). Therefore, knowing the spectra of triply-ionized rare earth elements would be useful for future analysis. Unfortunately, the corresponding experimental data is very poor. In the present paper we calculate energy levels of the two most important configurations $4f^{n+1}$ and $4f^n5d$ for all rare-earth atoms for which corresponding experimental data is not available. This includes Nd IV (n=2), Pm IV (n=3), Sm IV (n=4) and Eu IV (n=5), but excludes Gd IV for which calculations were done previously [6].

In the present paper, as in the earlier one [6], we consider free ions only. Effects of the garnet environment on the energy levels of rare earth ions were considered by Dorenbos [7] and can probably be used to extrapolate the spectra obtained here to the garnet environment. In any case, the spectra of free rare earth ions have their own significance.

We also perform calculations for doubly-ionized ions of rare earth elements Pr, Nd and Sm, which have the same electronic structure as triply ionized Nd, Pm and Eu, respectively. Since experimental spectra are available for the Pr III, Nd III and Sm III comparison of theoretical energies with experiment allowed us to control the accuracy of our calculations.

A comprehensive set of theoretical data for the $4f^{n+1}$ - $4f^n5d$ transitions including comparisons with data recommended by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) [8] is given in Tables I-IX of the accompanying EPAPS document [9].

II. CALCULATION OF ENERGIES

Calculations in this paper are similar to those of our previous paper [6]. We use our relativistic Hartree-Fock and configuration interaction (RCI) codes as well as a set of computer codes written by Cowan [10] and freely available via the Internet [11].

We start our calculations from the relativistic Hartree-Fock method. Since we are dealing with open-shell systems with a fractionally occupied $4f$ shell, the Hartree-Fock procedure needs to be further specified. We perform calculations in the V^N approximation, which means

---

*Electronic address: dzuba@newt.phys.unsw.edu.au
†Electronic address: usafrono@nd.edu
‡Electronic address: johnson@nd.edu; URL: `www.nd.edu/~johnson`

that all atomic electrons contribute to the Hartree-Fock potential. The contribution of the fully-occupied $4f$ shell is multiplied by a weighting factor $q/2(2l+1)$ were q is the actual occupation number and $l=3$ is the angular momentum. When the $4f$ orbital itself is calculated, the weighting factor is further reduced to $(q-1)/(2(2l+1)-1)$. Although the calculations are relativistic and the $4f_{5/2}$ and $4f_{7/2}$ states are different, we use the same weighting factor for both states. We also need the $5d_{3/2}$ and $5d_{5/2}$ states for the $4f^{n-1}5d$ configuration. These states are calculated in the field of a frozen core from which one $4f$ electron is removed. This corresponds to the weighting factor $(q-1)/2(2l+1)$ for the contribution of the $4f$ electrons to the Hartree-Fock potential.

We now have four basis states $4f_{5/2}$, $4f_{7/2}$, $5d_{3/2}$ and $5d_{5/2}$ for the configuration interaction (CI) calculations. A calculation with these basis states and the standard CI procedure underestimates the energy interval between the $4f^n$ and $4f^{n-1}5d$ configurations. As shown by Savukov et al. [12], this underestimate is due to the core polarization by the field of external electrons. In Ref. [12], correlation corrections to the energy of an external electron in Ce IV or Pr V in the $4f$ or $5d$ state were calculated to third order in many-body perturbation theory. It was found that core polarization increased the $4f$-$5d$ energy interval by about 20000 cm^{-1}, bringing theoretical energies into very good agreement with the experiment. Similar energy shift of about 18000 cm^{-1} between the $4f^7$ and $4f^65d$ configurations of Gd IV were introduced "by hand" into the calculations with the Cowan code [6].

We use a somewhat different approach in our RCI calculations, where we simulate core polarization by adding a polarization correction

$$\delta V = -\frac{\alpha}{2(a^4+r^4)}, \tag{1}$$

to the HF potential in which the $5d$ state is calculated. Here α is polarizability of an ion in the $4f^n$ configuration, a is a cut-off parameter introduced to remove the singularity in the origin. We use $a=a_B$ and treat α as a fitting parameter. Its values for different ions were chosen to fit energy levels of doubly ionized ions and the same values of α were used for triply ionized ions with the same electronic structure. Fine tuning the energies leads to slightly different values of α for different ions. The value $\alpha = 0.5a_B^3$ was used when data for the fine tuning was insufficient.

Core polarization modifies both the $4f$ and $5d$ states of an external electron; therefore, it would be better to modify both. However, to obtain correct energy *intervals* it is sufficient to modify either $4f$ or $5d$. Since the $4f$ orbitals are used for both the self-consistent Hartree-Fock calculations and the CI calculations, it is simpler to modify the $5d$ states only. As demonstrated previously, [6], this procedure works very well for Eu III and Gd IV. Therefore, we use it for other rare earth ions as well.

There is one further fitting procedure in the RCI calculations. We scale Coulomb integrals of second multipolarity by introducing a factor $f_2 = 0.8$ before all such integrals. This scaling imitates the effect of screening of the Coulomb interaction of valence electrons by core electrons [13]. The value of the factor was chosen to fit energy intervals within the $4f^{n-1}5d$ configuration of doubly ionized ions, where experimental data was available. The same screening factor was then used for all other ions.

Calculations using the Cowan atomic structure code [11], which is also a configuration-interaction method (although in its non-relativistic realization), are similar to the RCI calculations. We use parameters available in the Cowan code to fit the known energies. One of these parameters f_2 scales the Coulomb integrals similar to what was done in the RCI calculations. We use the factor $f_2 = 0.85$, which is very close to the value (0.8) used in the RCI calculations. Another parameter $f_{LS} = 0.9$, which scales the $L-S$ interaction, is chosen to fit the fine structure of doubly ionized ions. Finally, the average energy of the ground state configuration was shifted by hand to fit the energy intervals between the $4f^n$ and the $4f^{n-1}5d$ configurations. Note that this extra energy shift is due to correlation between core and valence electrons. The value of this shift is different for doubly and triply ionized ions. It follows that the Cowan code alone cannot be used to make predictions of the energy interval between the $4f^n$ and the $4f^{n-1}5d$ configurations. On the other hand, the procedure based on formula (1) works well with the same parameters for both ions. Actually, energy shifts for triply-ionized ions used in the Cowan code were chosen to fit the results of the RCI calculations. These shifts are 7000 cm^{-1} for Nd IV, 9000 cm^{-1} for Pm IV, 10000 cm^{-1} for Sm IV, and 12000 cm^{-1} for Eu IV.

III. RESULTS

In Table I, we present energies and corresponding Landé g-factors for some states of the $4f^{n+1}$ and $4f^n5d$ configurations of Nd IV ($n=2$), Pm IV ($n=3$), Sm IV ($n=4$), and Eu IV ($n=5$). For the $4f^n5d$ states we also present lifetimes τ calculated by the Cowan code. There are two sets of theoretical energies: RCI energies (E^R) and Cowan code energies (E^C). For the $4f^{n+1}$ states we also present experimental energies (E^N) from Ref. [8]. Note, that there are no experimental data for the $4f^n5d$ states of these ions.

The Landé g-factors presented in the table are useful for correct identification of the states. We present g-factors as they are calculated by both RCI and the Cowan code, together with the non-relativistic values, i.e., those obtained assuming pure LS coupling. The identification of states is usually easy for low states; however, states higher in the spectrum are strongly mixed, making level identification difficult, if not impossible.

Lifetimes of the $4f^n5d$ states presented in the table are calculated with the Cowan code, considering all possible electric dipole transitions to lower states of the ground-state configuration $4f^{n+1}$. Note that these calculations do not include core polarization and correlation effects. However, as shown in our calculations for Eu III and Gd IV [6], these effects are very important. For example, they change the lifetimes of Eu III and Gd IV states calculated in the Cowan code by a factor of 3.6. Similar corrections should be expected for other rare-earth ions. Thus, we believe that the lifetimes of the $4f^n5d$ states of Nd IV, Pm IV, Sm IV, and Eu IV in the Table I are too small by a factor of 3 or 4.

More detailed theoretical results, together with comparisons with available experimental data, are presented in the accompanying EPAPS document [9]. Tables I - IV of [9] give more detailed data for Nd IV, Pm IV, Sm IV, and Eu IV, respectively. Tables V - VII of [9] give more detailed comparison with experiment for the ions Pr III, Nd III and Sm III. Experimental data for these ions is sufficient for a reliable control of the accuracy of the calculations. The doubly-ionized ion Pr III has the same electronic structure as triply-ionized Nd IV. Similarly, the electronic structure of the pairs Nd III, PM IV and of the pairs Sm III, Eu IV is identical. Our calculational scheme can be roughly described as choosing parameters for a doubly ionized ion and then running the programs with the same parameters for the triply-ionized ion with the same electronic structure. Unfortunately, since there are no experimental data for the Pm III ion, we have no similar control of calculations for SM IV. Our confidence in the results for the Sm IV ion is based on the fact that the same procedure is used for all ions and very little adjustment of parameters is needed to move from one ion to another.

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, systematic Hartree-Fock and relativistic configuration interaction studies of energy levels and lifetimes of triply-ionized rare-earth elements in the $4f^{n+1}$ and $4f^n5d$ configurations have been presented. The results of calculations using two different sets of computer codes for Nd IV, Pm IV, Sm IV, and Eu IV are in good agreement with one another and with experiment for the levels of the ground state configuration $4f^{n+1}$. Our confidence in the accuracy of energy levels of the excited configuration $4f^n5d$ is based on similar calculations for Pr III, Nd III, and Sm III, for which sufficient experimental data is available.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to O. P. Sushkov for attracting our attention to the problem. One of the authors (V.D.) is grateful to the Physics Department of the University of Notre Dame for the hospitality and support during his visits in March and June of 2003. The work of W.R.J. was supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY-01-39928. U.I.S. acknowledges partial support by Grant No. B516165 from Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

---

[1] A. Paoletti, ed., *Physics of Magnetic Garnets* (North-Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam-New York-Ocsford, 1978).

[2] S. K. Lamoreaux, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 022109 (2002).

[3] L. R. Hunter, workshop on *Tests of Fundamental Symmetries in Atoms and Molecules*, Harvard, (2001) available online http://itamp.harvard.edu/fundamentalworkshop.html.

[4] S. A. Kuenzi, O. P. Sushkov, V. A. Dzuba, and J. M. Cadogan, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 032111 (2002).

[5] S. Y. Buhmann, V. A. Dzuba, and O. P. Sushkov, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 042109 (2002).

[6] V. A. Dzuba, O. P. Sushkov, W. R. Johnson, and U. I. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 032105 (2002).

[7] P. Dorenbos, Journal of Luminescence **91**, 91 (2000).

[8] W. C. Martin, R. Zalubas, and L. Hagan, *Atomic Energy Levels - The Rare-Earth Elements* (U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington DC, 1978).

[9] See EPAPS Document No. [number will be inserted by publisher] for additional nine tables. Tables I - VII. Energies (1/cm), Lande g-factors and lifetimes (sec) calculated by RCI and COWAN code for Nd IV (Table I), Pm IV (Table II), Sm IV (Table III), Eu IV (Table IV), Pr III (Table V), Nd III (Table VI), and Sm III (Table VII). Comparison with available recommended NIST data are given. This document may be retrieved via the EPAPS homepage (http://www.aip.org/pubservs/epaps.html) or from ftp.aip.org in the directory /epaps/. See the EPAPS homepage for more information.

[10] R. Cowan, *The Theory of Atomic Structure and Spectra* (University of California Press, Berkeley, CA, 1981).

[11] URL `ftp://aphysics.lanl.gov/pub/cowan`.

[12] I. M. Savukov, W. R. Johnson, U. I. Safronova, and M. S. Safronova, Phys. Rev. A **67**, 042504 (2003).

[13] V. A. Dzuba, V. V. Flambaum, and M. G. Kozlov, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 3948 (1996).

TABLE I: Energies (cm^{-1}), Landé g-factors, and lifetimes τ (sec) calculated by RCI (E^{R}) and Cowen code (E^{C}) for Nd IV (n = 2), Pm IV (n = 3), Sm IV (n = 4), and Eu IV (n = 5). Comparison with recommended NIST data, E^{N} by Martin et al. [8] is presented.

					cm^{-1}						cm^{-1}		sec
LSJ	g^{NR}	g^{C}	g^{R}	E^{C}	E^{R}	E^{N}	LSJ	g^{NR}	g^{C}	g^{R}	E^{C}	E^{R}	τ^{C}
		$4f^3$ states				Nd IV ion					$4f^25d$ states		
$^4I_{9/2}$	0.727	0.732	0.732	0	0	0	$^2H_{9/2}$	0.909	0.807	0.802	72100	71175	6.555[-9]
$^4I_{11/2}$	0.965	0.966	0.966	1879	1945	1880	$^2H_{11/2}$	1.091	0.995	0.998	75409	74228	1.747[-8]
$^4I_{13/2}$	1.108	1.107	1.107	3890	4049	3860							
$^4I_{15/2}$	1.200	1.199	1.199	5989	6267	5910	$^4K_{11/2}$	0.769	0.819	0.810	72682	71864	6.848[-8]
							$^4K_{13/2}$	0.964	0.972	0.971	75532	75172	7.522[-8]
$^4F_{3/2}$	0.400	0.426	0.421	13294	12490	11290	$^4K_{15/2}$	1.090	1.090	1.090	78311	78465	7.919[-8]
$^4F_{5/2}$	1.029	1.032	1.031	14333	13545	12320	$^4K_{17/2}$	1.176	1.177	1.176	81083	81799	7.752[-8]
$^4F_{7/2}$	1.238	1.203	1.224	15249	14622	13280							
$^4F_{9/2}$	1.333	1.263	1.183	16334	16183	14570	$^4I_{9/2}$	0.727	0.854	0.860	74368	73977	9.392[-9]
							$^4I_{11/2}$	0.965	0.996	1.012	74725	75234	6.434[-9]
$^2H_{9/2}$	0.909	0.962	1.065	13272	14522	12470	$^4I_{13/2}$	1.108	1.105	1.105	77187	77212	5.453[-9]
$^2H_{11/2}$	1.091	1.095	1.102	16456	18142	15870	$^4I_{15/2}$	1.200	1.196	1.195	79542	79997	5.400[-9]
$^4S_{3/2}$	2.000	1.961	1.968	15153	14452	13370	$^4G_{5/2}$	0.571	0.625	0.642	75005	74132	2.371[-8]
							$^4G_{7/2}$	0.984	0.966	0.967	76623	76263	1.536[-8]
$^2G_{7/2}$	0.889	0.937	0.956	18648	19273	17100	$^4G_{9/2}$	1.172	1.136	0.998	78643	77442	1.075[-8]
$^2G_{9/2}$	1.111	1.133	1.152	21376	21318		$^4G_{11/2}$	1.273	1.228	1.030	80914	79265	1.297[-8]
		$4f^4$ states				Pm IV ion					$4f^35d$ states		
$^5I_4$	0.600	0.604	0.604	0	0	0	$^5K_5$	0.667	0.690	0.691	73812	73688	2.410[-8]
$^5I_5$	0.900	0.902	0.901	1482	1550	1490	$^5K_6$	0.905	0.913	0.913	75960	76293	2.386[-8]
$^5I_6$	1.071	1.071	1.071	3110	3274	3110	$^5K_7$	1.054	1.053	1.053	78152	78952	2.368[-8]
$^5I_7$	1.179	1.176	1.176	4834	5123	4820	$^5K_8$	1.153	1.149	1.148	80377	81649	2.313[-8]
$^5I_8$	1.250	1.246	1.246	6618	7063	6580	$^5K_9$	1.222	1.216	1.215	82625	84360	2.144[-8]
$^5F_1$	0.000	0.024	0.019	14021	13206	12230	$^5L_6$	0.714	0.726	0.727	73759	73372	2.237[-5]
$^5F_2$	1.000	1.008	1.004	14477	13720	12670	$^5L_7$	0.911	0.915	0.915	76081	76202	4.658[-5]
$^5F_3$	1.250	1.242	1.245	15332	14608	13520	$^5L_8$	1.042	1.043	1.042	78536	79188	8.505[-5]
$^5F_4$	1.350	1.320	1.337	16265	15683	14470	$^5L_9$	1.133	1.133	1.133	81098	82300	9.213[-6]
$^5F_5$	1.400	1.382	1.389	17586	17064	15800	$^5L_{10}$	1.200	1.199	1.198	83751	85533	1.031[-5]
		$4f^5$ states				Sm IV ion					$4f^45d$ states		
$^6H_{5/2}$	0.286	0.296	0.295	0	0	0	$^6L_{11/2}$	0.615	0.622	0.624	73152	73945	3.057[-5]
$^6H_{7/2}$	0.825	0.829	0.829	1030	1070	1080	$^6L_{13/2}$	0.851	0.855	0.855	75025	76264	3.632[-5]
$^6H_{9/2}$	1.071	1.071	1.071	2238	2347	2290	$^6L_{15/2}$	1.004	1.005	1.004	77051	78765	3.529[-5]
$^6H_{11/2}$	1.203	1.201	1.201	3573	3783	3610	$^6L_{17/2}$	1.108	1.107	1.107	79202	81411	3.559[-5]
$^6H_{13/2}$	1.282	1.279	1.279	4991	5339	4990	$^6L_{19/2}$	1.183	1.181	1.179	81459	84189	4.711[-5]
$^6H_{15/2}$	1.333	1.328	1.329	6458	6983	6470	$^6L_{21/2}$	1.238	1.235	1.234	83833	87119	2.998[-5]
$^6F_{1/2}$	-0.667	-0.651	-0.651	6962	6584	6290	$^6K_{9/2}$	0.545	0.560	0.560	75414	76998	7.004[-6]
$^6F_{3/2}$	1.067	1.057	1.062	7193	6886	6540	$^6K_{11/2}$	0.839	0.845	0.845	77095	79043	9.359[-6]
$^6F_{5/2}$	1.314	1.304	1.307	7676	7457	7050	$^6K_{13/2}$	1.015	1.014	1.015	78892	81233	1.955[-5]
$^6F_{7/2}$	1.397	1.390	1.391	8531	8375	7910	$^6K_{15/2}$	1.129	1.123	1.123	80757	83514	4.212[-5]
$^6F_{9/2}$	1.434	1.430	1.430	9713	9614	9080	$^6K_{17/2}$	1.207	1.196	1.196	82654	85844	3.911[-5]
$^6F_{11/2}$	1.455	1.451	1.451	11124	11097	10470	$^6K_{19/2}$	1.263	1.247	1.247	84544	88180	2.177[-5]
		$4f^6$ states				Eu IV ion					$4f^55d$ states		
$^7F_0$	0.000	0.000	0.000	0	0	0	$^7K_4$	0.400	0.410	0.413	82484	82987	1.609[-4]
$^7F_1$	1.500	1.500	1.499	375	365	370	$^7K_5$	0.767	0.771	0.772	83854	84708	1.346[-4]
$^7F_2$	1.500	1.499	1.498	1035	1030	1040	$^7K_6$	0.976	0.977	0.978	85399	86641	9.429[-5]
$^7F_3$	1.500	1.498	1.498	1887	1918	1890	$^7K_7$	1.107	1.106	1.106	87086	88749	6.362[-5]
$^7F_4$	1.500	1.498	1.497	2861	2967	2860	$^7K_8$	1.194	1.192	1.191	88897	91011	4.438[-5]
$^7F_5$	1.500	1.496	1.496	3908	4129	3910	$^7K_9$	1.256	1.252	1.251	90828	93425	3.288[-5]
$^7F_6$	1.500	1.495	1.495	4992	5373	4990	$^7K_{10}$	1.300	1.296	1.295	92910	96033	3.645[-5]
$^5D_0$	0.000	0.000	0.000	17064	21229	17270	$^7H_2$	0.000	0.147	0.094	86557	87999	1.658[-7]
$^5D_1$	1.500	1.495	1.493	18703	22890	19030	$^7H_3$	0.750	0.756	0.715	87656	89303	1.435[-7]
$^5D_2$	1.500	1.493	1.491	21107	25409	21510	$^7H_4$	1.050	1.045	1.020	88957	90813	2.314[-7]
$^5D_3$	1.500	1.489	1.485	23980	28462	24390	$^7H_5$	1.200	1.191	1.021	90376	91830	6.614[-7]
$^5D_4$	1.500	1.465	1.482	27273	31966	27640	$^7H_6$	1.286	1.276	1.143	91847	93668	2.054[-6]
							$^7H_7$	1.339	1.329	1.220	93348	95591	3.764[-6]
$^5L_6$	0.714	0.730	0.728	24294	27327		$^7H_8$	1.375	1.362	1.273	94863	97527	2.180[-5]